

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/669,969	KORSUNSKY ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Larisa Z. Tsukerman	2833	

**All Participants:**

(1) Larisa Z. Tsukerman.

(2) Wei Te Chung.

**Status of Application: \_\_\_\_\_**

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 9 March 2005

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

**Rejection(s) discussed:**

*none*

**Claims discussed:**

*1-10*

**Prior art documents discussed:**

*Cosmo (4477133), Hikami et al. (4846729), Buck et al. (5069627)*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

*Larisa Tsukerman*

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Amemdment dated 02/24/05 did not place the application into condition for allowance because claim language still reads on the applied references. Attorney agreed with Examiner's proposed amendment to cancel claims 1-5,7 and 10 in order to define over the Prior Art and make the claims unobvious over the Prior Art references. .